

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,390	(02/12/2001	Samuel T. Labrie	PF-0232-1 DIV	8952
27904	7590	03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
INCYTE C					
3160 PORTE PALO ALTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Communication Re: Appeal	09/782,390	LABRIE ET AL.
Communication Ne. Appear	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not a	acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal v	was not submitted. See 37 CFR	1.17(b).
(c) the appeal fee received on wa	s not timely filed.	
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insuffice	cient. The appeal fee required by	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 3 rejection in this application.	37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no re	ecord of a second or a final
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	mailed by the Office on	
2. The appeal brief filed on 12/1/2003 is NOT	acceptable for the reason(s) indi	cated below: See attacked.
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely.	See 37 CFR 1.192.	
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has	s not been submitted. See 37 CF	R 1.17(c).
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is in	nsufficient. The brief fee required	by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dismis brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time	ssed unless corrective action is may be obtained under 37 CFR	taken to timely submit the 1.136(a).
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSE	ED because:	
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as period for obtaining an extension of t	required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) with the to file the brief under 37 CFR	vas not timely submitted and the 1.136 has expired.
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the CFR 1.136 has expired.	period for obtaining an extension	of time to file the brief under 37
(c) Request for Continued Examination	(RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was	filed on
(d)		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, thi	s application:	
(a) is abandoned because there are no a	allowed claims.	
(b) is before the examiner for final disponsible on the merits remains CLOSED.	sition because it contains allowed	I claims. Prosecution
(c) is before the examiner for considerat to 37 CFR 1.114.	ion of the submission and prosec	ution has been reopened pursuant
		orraine Spector, Ph.D. rimary Examiner
		rt Unit: 1647

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-461)

Appellants have submitted two new declarations under 37 C.F.R. §1. 132 as part of the same paper as the appeal brief. Section 1207 of the MPEP clearly states that "A new amendment, new affidavit, or other new evidence must be submitted in a paper separate from the appeal brief.

Accordingly, the brief is defective.

Appellant is required to comply with provisions of MPEP 1207 and 37 C.F.R. §1. 195.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, Appellant must comply within the longest of any of the following TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing of this communication; (2) within the time period for reply to the action from which appeal has been taken; or (3) within two months from the date of the notice of appeal under 37 CFR 1.191. Extensions of these time periods may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136.

LORRAINE SPECTOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER